PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

· INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or age ZRC-MC-021	ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCTAPIEA/416
International application No. PCT/IN2005/000011		International filing date (davimonity) 07.01.2005	(Near) Priority date (daymonthyear) 09.01.2004
C07D405/12	nt Classification (IPC) or 5.06 C07D319.06 C0	national classification and IPC 7D41306 C07D49504 C07D4	17.06 C07D407.06 A61K31.357 A61P3.04
Applicant CADILA HEAL	THCARE LIMITED		
,		manufed to the applicant according	blished by this International Preliminary Examining g to Article 36.
		of 7 sheets, including this cover s	neet.
		by ANNEXES, comprising:	
a. ⊠ <i>ser</i>	it to the applicant and	io the International Bureau) a total	of 1 sheets, as follows:
U	sheets of the descript	ion, claims and/or drawings which	have been amended and are the basis of this report Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
Ø	sheets which superse beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but which this A in the international application as	uthority considers contain an amendment that goes filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
	TO THE HALLING BUILDING FAIL	Bureau only) a total of (indicate typoles related thereto, in electronic foing (see Section 802 of the Admini	e and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a orm only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box strative Instructions).
4. This report	contains indications re	elating to the following items:	
Ø Box No	I Basis of the rep	Out	
☐ Box No.			
Box No.	•	ent of opinion with regard to novel	ty, inventive step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No	IV Lack of unity of		ty, inventive step and industrial applicability
Ø Box No.	V Reasoned state		rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial
☐ Box No.	VI Certain docume		
☐ Box No.		in the international application	
☐ Box No.	VIII Certain observa	tions on the international application	on
Date of submission	of the domand	Date of co	mpletion of this report
29.07.2005		04.05.20	006
preliminary examini	•	al Authorized	officer
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx. 523656 epmu d Fax +49 89 2399 - 4465			, C No. +49 89 2389-8287

10/585422

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IN2005/000011

-	Box No. I Basis of the repo	π	TAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 0 7 JUL 20
1.	. With regard to the language, the	his report is based on	
	☑ the international applicatio	n in the language in which	it was filed
	a translation of the internal of a translation furnished for	tional application into , whi or the purposes of.	ch is the language
	international search (un publication of the intern international preliminan	ational application (under	Rule 12 4(a))
2.	With regard to the elements* on have been furnished to the receive port as "originally filed" and a	eiviria Oifice in response to	ion, this report is based on (replacement sheets which o an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this ort):
	Description, Pages		
	1-34	as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		
	2(part), 3-11	as originally filed	
	1, 2(part)	received on 26.09.2005 will	h letter of 23.09.2005
	a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Si	upplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.	☐ The amendments have resi	ulted in the cancellation of	·
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos		
	the drawings, sheets/figs	S	
	the sequence listing (spi	ecify):	
	any table(s) related to se	equence listing (specify):	
·	This report has been estable had not been made, since they supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)	have been considered to d	mendments annexed to this report and listed below go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the
	 □ the description, pages ☑ the claims, Nos. 1 □ the drawings, sheets/figs □ the sequence listing (specific pages) 	5	
	any table(s) related to se	ecriy). equence listing (specify):	
	* If item 4 applies, so	ome or all of these	sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IN2005/000011

	В.	Ma III Managara				
_	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Th ob:	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- bylious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	П	the entire international application,				
	Ø	claims Nos. 5(parl),7-10				
	bed	use:				
	Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 7-10 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
	Ø	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 5(part) are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify).				
	Ø	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 5(part)				
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
		furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.				
!		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
1		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
(See separate sheet for further details				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IN2005/000011

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statoment

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

5

No.. Claims

1-4,6-11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

5

No: Claims

1-4,6-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-6,11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

10./585422 AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 JUL 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IN2005/000011

I. Basis of the report

The amendments filed with the letter dated 23.9.05 introduce subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as filed, contrary to Article 34(2)(b) PCT. In the original disclosure proviso i) excluded compounds wherein X is CH2, A is a substituted heterocyclic group wherein the substituent is aryl, aromatic, heterocyclic or cycloalkyl. However, these compounds are no longer excluded. Therefore the presently amended claim 1 extends to compounds which were not part of the original disclosure. In addition, the amended proviso i) amounts to a newly introduced proviso, as its content is different from that in the original disclosure. The European Patent Office allows disclaimers without basis in the original application to be introduced only to exclude subject matter from a disclosure which is considered to be an "accidental anticipation". A disclosure is considered to be an accidental anticipation if it is so unrelated to and remote from the claimed invention that the person skilled in the art would never have taken it into consideration when making the invention. However, the amended proviso has been introduced to exclude compounds of D1. This document is considered to be highly relevant for the assessment of inventive step as it concerns compounds with the same activity. Thus a disclaimer newly introduced to exclude compounds of D1 is not allowable. As the amendments are not allowable the following examination has been performed for the claims in their original form.

III. Non-establishment of opinion

Claims 7-10 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

There are 2 claims numbered "3" and no claim 5. The following examination has been based on the claims wherein the 2nd claim presently numbered "3" is treated as claim 4 and present claim 4 is renumbered as claim 5.

A number of examples (3, 12, 17, 26, 35, 37, 48) do not fall within the scope of formula (I) of present claim 1. These examples are claimed in claim 5 as being compounds of claims 1-3. This introduces a contradiction into the claims, which

creates a lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) concerning the scope of the claims. Only formula (I) has been searched, thus no opinion will be established for those compounds of claim 5 not falling within this formula.

V. Reasoned statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-1 295 875

D2: Albany Molecular Research, Inc. Technical Reports, vol. 7, no. 46, 2002, p. 8-9

D3: WO00/04011

Novelty

In claim 1 compounds wherein A is i.a. optionally substituted heteroaryl or optionally substituted heterocyclyl are claimed. Thus it is clear that the term "heterocyclyl" does not include heteroaryl - if it did, both possibilities would not be separately listed in the claim. In proviso i) compounds wherein A is heterocyclyl having aryl, aromatic, heterocyclic or cycloalkyl substituents are excluded. No mention is made of an exclusion of compounds wherein A is heteroaryl having these substituents. D1 discloses a general formula [1] wherein the group corresponding to the present A is a divalent aromatic heterocyclic group, i.e. a heteroaryl group. This disclosure overlaps with the present claims. The compounds are described as being effective at lowering triglyceride, LDL-C and insulin levels in the blood and can thus be useful in the treatment of i.a. diabetes and obesity. Furthermore, D1 discloses specific compounds falling within the scope of the present claims (e.g. the compounds of examples 1-4). D2 elaborates on the mechanism of action of one of the compounds of D1, stating that it is a selective PPAR-alpha activator.

These disclosures are novelty-destroying for present claims 1-4 and 6-11. D3 discloses general formula I wherein R^2 or R^3 may be (C_c-C_{10}) aryl (C_1-C_7) alkyl wherein the aryl group may optionally be substituted. In present claim 1, proviso ii) excludes certain compounds wherein A is a substituted aryl group, however there is no exclusion of compounds wherein A is an unsubstituted aryl group. The compounds of D3 are described as being activators of PPAR-alpha and gamma, useful as hypolipidemic and hpyoglycemic agents. Thus the disclosure of D3 overlaps with present claims 1, 3 and 7-10.

Claims 1-4 and 6-11 do not fulfil the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

Inventive step

In view of their lack of novelty, claims 1-4 and 6-11 cannot be inventive. Re. those compounds of claim 5 which fall within the scope of formula (I): For those compounds wherein A is heterocyclic or heteroaryl, D1 is taken as the closest prior art. The compounds of D1 all have 2 rings directly attached to one another (R¹-Het-). None of the compounds of claim 5 have this feature. It does not appear obvious to provide further compounds with PPAR modulating activity by replacing the R¹ ring of D1 by one of the substituents given in claim 5. Thus for the compounds wherein A is heterocyclic or heteroaryl, claim 5 may be considered inventive.

For the compounds wherein A is aryl, D3 may be taken as the closest prior art. The compounds of claim 5 differ in the identity of the substituent on the aryl group. The structurally closest compounds are ex. 18 and 19, which possess a phenyl ring substituted by a benzyloxy group and a methanesulfonyloxy group, whereas the compounds of D3 may have an aryl group substituted by a hydroxy group, a trifluoromethoxy group or alkoxy group. In the absence of any teaching that the substituents of present claim 5 and those of D3 are equivalent in compounds with PPAR modulating activity, it does not appear to be obvious to provide further compounds with this activity by modifying the compounds of D3 in the way claimed. Thus the compounds of claim 5 which fall within the scope of claim 1 and which have the alleged activity may be considered inventive.

Claims 1-4 and 6-11 do not fulfil the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT. Claim 5 fulfils the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

Industrial applicability

Claims 1-6 and 11 fulfil the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

No unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States for assessing whether present claims 7-10 are industrially applicable. The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. For example, the EPO does not consider claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment to be industrially applicable, but allows claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

15

We claim:

A compound of formula (I):

$$A \longrightarrow (CH_2)_n \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow (CH_2)_n \longrightarrow B$$
(I)

$$B = \frac{(H_2C)_r - Y}{Z} R_1$$

their tautomeric forms, their pharmaceutically acceptable salts, their pharmaceutically acceptable solvates, pharmaceutical compositions containing them, wherein 'A' represents optionally substituted, single or fused aryl, cycloalkyl group or an optionally substituted heterocyclyl group; 'm' = 0-2; 'n' = 3-6; 'X' represents O, S, -N-(Ra)- or -CH₂-; Ra represents H, linear or branched, group selected from alkyl, acyl or aryl, aralkyl group, which may optionally be substituted; 'Y' at each occurrence independently represent O or S; R₁ represents H, linear or branched substituted or unsubstituted alkyl; r = 0-2; Z represents -(CH₂)₂COOH, alkoxycarbonyl, hydroxymethyl, -CN, substituted or unsubstituted tetrazoles, alkylcarbonyl groups, s = 0-4; with the proviso that when 'X' = CH₂ and

- i) 'A' represents substituted aromatic heterocyclic group, the substitutions on 'A' does not represent aryl, aromatic, heterocyclic or cycloalkyl group, and
- ii) 'A' represents substituted aryl group, the substituent on 'A' represents alkylsulfonyloxy, aryloxy, aralkoxy, cycloalkyl, heteroaryl or heterocyclic group.
- 2. A compound as claimed in claim I wherein, when 'A' is substituted, suitable 20 substitutions on 'A' may be selected from hydroxyl, oxo, halo, thio, nitro, amino, cyano, formyl, or substituted or unsubstituted groups selected from amidino, alkyl, baloalkyl, perhaloalkyl, alkoxy, haloalkoxy, perhaloalkoxy, alkenyl, alkynyl, cycloalkyl, cycloalkenyl, bicycloalkyl, bicycloalkenyl, aikoxy, cycloalkoxy, aryl, aryloxy, aralkyl, aralkoxy, acyl, acyloxy, acylamino, 25 monosubstituted or disubstituted amino, arylamino, aralkylamino, carboxylic acid and its derivatives such as esters and amides, carbonylamino, hydroxyalkyl, aminoalkyl, alkoxyalkyl, aryloxyalkyl, aralkoxyalkyl, alkylthio, thioalkyl, arylthio, alkylsulfonylamino, alkylsulfonyloxy. alkoxycarbonylamino, aryloxycarbonylamino, 30 aralkyloxycarbonylamino, aminocarbonylamino.